Sl. No.	Name of the project	Commissioning Schedule	Anticipated cost (Rs. Crst.	eSystem Details
21.	Kayamkulam-I .	*	25	(i) Kayamkulam-Lallon 220 KV D/C (ii) Kayamkulam-Edamom 220 KV D/C line.
22.	Baglihar .	*	76	Baglihar-Kishanpur 400 KV D/C.
23.	Sawalkot .	*	58	Sawalkot-Kishenpur 400 KV D/C.
24.	Anta II . . .		74	(i) Anta-Beawar 220 KV D/C line (ii) Anta-Kota 220 KV S/C Line (iii) LILO of Anta-Hirapura 220 KV D/C line at laypore.

NOTE: The above list is only indicative and comprises of the projects under approval as 01 today.

The cost, commissioning schedule and system details of transmission schemes indicated above are subject to change depending upon fund availability, system requirement and other such conditions prevailing from time to time.

* At present it is not possible to indicate the precise commissioning date. However, the transmission project is being planned to match the commissioning schedule of the Generation Project.

Disposal of Complaints by SEBI

*287. SHRI RAMSINH RATHWA:
SHRI GOPALSINH G. SOLANKI-

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) what is the number of complaints received by the SEBI since its statutory formation;

(b) what are the details of complaints, their mature and the type of offending parties and the number of complaints in each category;

(c) what is the number out of these which have been disposed of satisfactorily and the reasons in general and specific for non-redressal of such complaints;

(d) wnether it is not owing to inadequate infrastructure in registration and transfer work on the part of registrars to the issue; and

(e) what are the reasons that new agencies or system, particularly 'Paperless trading' is not being introduced in the capital market?

THE MINISTER OF STATE EST THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS: (DR. ABRAR AHMED)

(a) The number of complaints receiv-td by SEBI since it$_s$ statutory establishment on 21.2.1992 is 6,05,636 till 31.7.1993.

(b) and (c) Type of complaints*	Number of complaints received	Number of complaints reported to be resolved
*I	4,19,235	82,928
II	42,407	6,595*
III	1,17,600	24,937
IV	26,394	5,913

* Type I complaints relate to non-receipt of refund orders/allotment advice/stock invest

* Type II complaints relate to non-receipt of dividend/interest on shares debentures/ fixed deposits, non-receipt of redemption amount of debentures and maturity amount of fixed deposit.

* Type III complaints relate to non-receipt of shares/debentures certificates after allotment/ transfer/transmission/con version/endorsement/consolidation/splitting.

* Type IV complaints relate to non-receipt of annual reports/rights application forms/ bonus shares/interest for overdue period in case of delayed refund and other mis-cellaneous matters.

According to SEBI, the reasons for non-redresgal of complaints largely relate to the following:

(i) Non-receipt of documents for want of correct address of the investors;

(ii) non-receipt of documents in situations where the addressee is not in station to receive documents sent by registered post;

(iii) loss of documents in postal transit, particularly under the system of bulk mailing of documents Such as refund orders|warrants;

(iv) the work and time involved in making reconciled bank statements before duplicate refund orders/warrants can be issued by a company;

(v) the procedure for issue of duplica share certificate is time consuming, involving public announcements in newspapers.

(d) The limited infrastructural facilities with the Registrars to the Issue and 'the limited capacity of the postal Department as compared to the growth in the number of issues as well as the number of investors can also be considered as contributing factors to the non-radressal of investor complaints in time.

(e) As already announced in tne Budget Speech for 1993-94, proposals are also being 'considered (for the establishment of a Central Depository with a National Clearance and Settlement System.

Capacity of Arunachal Pradesh in Hydel Power Generation

*288. SHRI KAMAL MORARKA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that Arunachal Pradesh has the potential of hydroelectricity to contribute one third of the country's total power requirement;

(b) if so, what is the present ins failed capacity:

(c) what are the reasons for not tapping the power resource to the full capacity; and

(d) what are the future plans in this direction?

THE MINISTER OF POWER (SHRI N. K. P. SALVE): (a) No. Sir.